



EFFECTS OF THE CURING TEMPERATURE ON THE PERFORMANCE OF GLASS FIBRE REINFORCED BASIC MAGNESIUM SULFATE CEMENT

PANPAN LIU*, [#]CHENGYOU WU*, KEJIE LUO*, CONG CHEN*, YUANJI CHEN*, HUIFANG ZHANG**, HONGFA YU***

*School of Civil Engineering, Qinghai University, Xining 810016, PR China **Qinghai Institute of Salt Lakes, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining 810008, PR China. ***Department of Civil Engineering, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, PR China

[#]E-mail: wuchengyou86@163.com

Submitted July 12, 2018; accepted September 28,2018

Keywords: Basic magnesium sulphate cement, Curing temperature, Hydration phase, Compressive strength, Flexural strength

In order to investigate the effect of different curing conditions on the properties of glass fibre reinforced basic magnesium sulfate cement (GRBMS), the compressive strength, flexural strength, and water resistance of the GRBMS were determined for five curing modes at 20 °C, 50 °C, and 80 °C. The phase composition of the hydrated product was analysed by X-ray diffraction and the microstructure was analysed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images. The results show that the curing temperature in the early stage of curing has a significant effect on the type of the primary reaction products. The main strength phase, resulting from the curing temperatures of 20 °C and 50 °C, is the 517 needle-like phase, whereas the curing temperature of 80 °C produces the 513 sheet-like phase. The strength phase of the cement-based material is the 517 phase when cured at 20 °C for 1 day; after curing at 80 °C for 3 days, the 517 phase loses water and changes into the 513 phase, changing the morphology. The pre-curing specimens have the lowest water resistance at 80 °C, indicating that the water resistance of the GRBMS sample is affected by both the curing temperature and the curing mode.

INTRODUCTION

Magnesium oxysulfate (MOS) cement is a nonhydraulic cementitious material. It is formed by mixing a suitable proportion of magnesium oxide (MgO) powder (usually calcined at 700 - 900 °C) with a concentrated magnesium sulfate (MgSO₄) solution [1]. It was determined that the magnesium hydroxide sulfate [xMg(OH)₂·yMgSO₄·zH₂O] phase was discovered as the main component of MOS cement [2]. MOS cement hardens rapidly and has excellent fire performance, low thermal conductivity, good abrasion resistance, and good chemical resistance [3-5]. Therefore, it can be used as a fire-proof material [6], reinforcement additive [7], and building material. However, its hydration products are not stable; therefore, the mechanical strength of MOS cement is very low and it has limited use in practical engineering applications, which greatly restricts its utilisation. If the mechanical strength of MOS cement could be improved, it would be an excellent cementing material and may replace magnesium oxychloride (MOC) cement in some cases, especially at higher temperatures [8]. The strength of MOS cement depends on the type and relative content of the crystalline phases in the cement. Cole [9] conducted one of the earliest studies on the effect of temperature on the hydration product composition of MOC cement and determined the composition of the stable crystalline phase in the system

and its temperature range. The results showed that at temperatures of less than 100 °C, two stable MOC hydrate crystal phases existed, namely 5Mg(OH)₂·MgCl₂·8H₂O (the 518 phase) and $3Mg(OH)_2 \cdot MgCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ (the 318 phase). Similarly, when the temperature was greater than 100 °C, two kinds of stable crystal phases existed, namely 2Mg(OH)₂·MgCl₂·4H₂O (the 214 phase) and 9Mg(OH)₂·MgCl₂·5H₂O (the 915 phase). However, in MOS cement, Demediuk and Cole [10] identified four major crystalline phases in the MgO-MgSO₄-H₂O system at different temperatures from 30 to 120 °C. These phases are $5Mg(OH)_2 \cdot MgSO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$ (or $2H_2O$) (the 513 phase), $3Mg(OH)_2 \cdot MgSO_4 \cdot 8H_2O$ (the 318 phase), Mg(OH)₂ ·MgSO₄·5H₂O (the 115 phase), and $Mg(OH)_2 \cdot 2MgSO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$ (the 123 phase). The generation of the different phases is dependent on the different temperatures, stability, and strengths. Urwong and Sorrel [11] investigated the phase relationship in MOS cement and identified many stable phases at 23 °C, namely 3Mg(OH)₂·MgSO₄·8H₂O (the 318 phase), the metastable Mg(OH)₂·MgSO₄·5H₂O (the 115 phase), Mg(OH)₂ and MgO, plus MgSO₄·nH₂O (n = 1, 6 and 7). Kahle [12] determined that the stable 318 phases and the 513 phases existed in hardened thiomagnesium cementitious materials when the mixing ratio of MgO and magnesium sulfate was 5:1, under saturated steam pressure curing conditions. Vincenzo [13] found that at low temperatures, the phase produced by oxysulfate cement was the 318 phase; the mechanical properties of the thiomagnesium cementitious material after hardening were poor, but the water resistance was very good.

Previous studies have shown that an adjustment of the crystal phase composition and a reduction in the formation of unwanted phases may be an effective method to improve the mechanical strength of MOS cement. Yu [14] investigated changes in the hydration process and the composition of MgO. The addition of phosphoric acid and phosphate increases the compressive strength and the water resistance of MOS cement, forming $MgSO_4$ ·7H₂O (the 517 phase); this phase is needle-like and insoluble in water. In addition, Yu also determined that the curing conditions had a large impact on the deformation of MOC cement; the results indicated that the expansion value of the cement for moisture curing was 10 times that of natural curing and the expansion value for natural curing was 10 times that of dry curing. Previous research on MOC cement [15-17] has shown that the chemical reactions, reaction products, and mechanical strength depend on the maintenance methods, raw materials, etc.

It is known that the behaviour of cement-based materials is affected by the curing methods [18-19]. However, few reports have been published on how to achieve the best performance for MOS cement. Therefore, in this study, we have investigated the effects of different curing temperatures and curing methods on the performance of the reaction products and mechanical strength of the cement.

We conducted an in-depth study of MOS cement and investigated the effect of different curing temperatures and curing methods on the compressive strength of glass fibre reinforced basic magnesium sulfate cement (GRBMS). The strength development, phase composition, and microstructure of the MOS cement are investigated in detail to determine the hardening mechanism and the optimal curing methods. Glass fibres are added to the basic magnesium sulfate cement (BMSC) to improve its durability. Yu [20] used the stand-in cement (SIC) test method to compare a highperformance GRMC and a conventional GRMC, which was not modified, using an accelerated aging test with 80 °C water, compared to the double-blended composite water-resistant additive and slag. The bending strength retention and the service life were low. Liu Qiangian [21] studied the degradation of the mechanical properties of GRMC using accelerated aging with 50 °C and 80 °C water and determined the durability of a glass fibrereinforced MOC. The results indicated that the glass fibre provided excellent anti-aging properties for the BMSC.

The results of the above-mentioned studies show that the mechanical properties of the sulfoxy magnesium cementitious materials and the phase composition of the hydration products are closely related to the temperature conditions of the environment. Therefore, the temperature stability of the sulfoxy magnesium

Ceramics - Silikáty 63 (1) 32-44 (2019)

cementitious materials has been investigated to determine the mechanical properties and the crystal phases. The stability is of great significance. Two curing temperatures and two curing methods were tested to ascertain the changes in the mechanical properties and phase composition of the GRBMS and X-ray diffraction (XRD) phase analysis was performed. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to analyse the phase characteristics and morphology of the hydration products and determine the effects of the curing conditions on the performance of the GRBMS and the underlying mechanism.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

The magnesia used for the production of calcined magnesite at 750 °C (1382 °F) was light-burned magnesia (LBM) obtained from Dashiqiao Liaoning Province, China. The content of high-activity MgO (a-MgO) was determined using the standardised hydration method of Dong Jinmei [22]; the content was only 58.92 %. The a-MgO hydrates and is converted to magnesium subsulfate in a magnesium sulfate solution during the setting of the MOS cement [23-24]. The chemical composition is shown in Table 1. The mineral components are MgO, quartz (SiO₂), as well as a small amount of magnesium carbonate (MgCO₃), calcium carbonate (CaCO₃), and hydrated magnesium silicate $(Mg_3Si_4O_{10}(OH)_2)$. The chemical composition of the analytically pure magnesium sulfate (MgSO₄·7H₂O) is shown in Table 2. Sodium citrate $(C_6H_8Na_3O_7 \cdot 2H_2O)$ was purchased from Tianjin Chemio Chemical Co., Ltd. As shown in Equations 1 and 2, at an equimolar ratio, the active magnesium oxide in the LBM reacts with the magnesium sulfate to form a stable strength of the 517 phase (or the 513 phase) and a 517 intensity phase, during dehydration at high temperature, the 513 phase is formed, as shown in Equation 3.

$$5MgO + MgSO_4 + 12H_2O \rightarrow \rightarrow 5Mg(OH)_2 \cdot MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$$
(1)

$$5MgO + MgSO_4 + 7H_2O \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow 5Mg(OH)_2 \cdot MgSO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$$
(2)

$$5Mg(OH)_2 \cdot MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O - 4H_2O \rightarrow \rightarrow 5Mg(OH)_2 \cdot MgSO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$$
(3)

The wood chips used in this study were passed through a 20-mesh sieve. In addition, a mid-alkali glass mesh fabric (Xinhui Chemical Company, Xining City) with an average monofilament diameter of 13 μ m and a mesh size of 8 mm × 4 mm was used to improve the flexural strength and overall toughness of the GRBMS. The chemical composition of the fabric is shown in Table 3.

Table 1. The chemical composition of the light burned magnesium (LBM).

Chem. composition	MgO	CaO	SiO_2	Fe ₂ O ₃	Al ₂ O ₃	IL
Mass fraction (%)	80.20	1.30	6.07	0.41	0.15	11.87

Table 2. The chemical composition of $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$.

Chem. composition	$MgSO_4$	$MgCl_2$	NaCl	Na_2SO_4	$\mathrm{H_2O}$	Othe
Weight (%)	47.85	0.5	0.5	0.5	50.24	0.41

Table 3. The chemical composition of the mid-alkali glass mesh fabric.

Chem. composition	SiO_2	CaO	Al_2O_3	MgO	Fe ₂ O ₃	Na ₂ O
Mass fraction (%)	67.30	9.50	6.07	4.20	0.93	12.00

Preparation of GRBMS

The GRBMS has an a-MgO/MgSO₄ molar ratio M of 6, a is the light burned magnesium oxide activity. The wood chips comprise 20 % of the cement and the watercement ratio is 0.35. The composition of the GRBMS specimens is shown in Table 4 according to the above calculation of the amount of each admixture. MgSO₄ \cdot 7H₂O was dissolved in water to form a 25.00 mass. % MgSO₄ solution. The prepared magnesium sulfate solution was mixed with water and then the magnesia, sodium citrate, and the wood chips were added and stirred for 90 s (slow for 60 s and fast for 30 s) and then added into 24 steel moulds with dimensions of 40 mm \times 40 mm \times 160 mm by the following procedure. First, 50 g of the mixed slurry with a protective layer was poured into the moulds. Next, adding three layers of grid cloth and then the remaining slurry was poured into steel moulds, which were vibrated twice to level the mixture. After the samples were moulded, six steel moulds were placed in a vacuum curing box at a temperature of 50 °C for 7 d, after which they were demoulded and continued to cure naturally (20 \pm 2) °C for 28 d. An additional six steel moulds were cured at 20 °C for 24 h, were demoulded and cured at 50 °C for 7 d, followed by natural curing for 28 d. The remaining 12 steel moulds were maintained at 80 °C and the curing conditions were the same as for the samples cured at 50 °C.

Symbol Description: T represents the curing temperature, P represents the samples that are cured directly after demoulding, N are the samples with the mould maintenance. For example: T5OP represents the samples

after 24 hr of natural curing, followed by mould removal, placing in a vacuum curing box at 50 °C for 7 d, and natural curing for 28 d; T50N represents the samples kept in the mould at a temperature of 50 °C, placed in the vacuum curing box for curing for 7 d, followed by demoulding and natural curing for 28 d.

Specimen analysis

The compressive strengths of the GRBMS samples cured for different periods (12 h, 1 d, 3 d, 7 d, 28 d) were tested on a testing machine using a maximum force of 100 kN at a loading rate of 10 mm·min⁻¹. The flexural strengths of the GRBMS samples were tested with a TYE-10C compressive and flexural tester. Three samples were tested for each curing age and composition. The specimens that underwent various curing conditi-ons were sampled at 12 h, 1 d, 3 d, 7 d, and 28 d and they were left in small pieces. After soaking in ethanol for 48 hr, the samples were removed and ground in a grinding dish. The powder was sampled and sieved in a 0.08 mm sieve. The crushed cement was reduced to a power (D90/5 lm) for the crystal phase composition analysis performed with an X' Pert PRO (PANalytical) diffractometer with CuK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.15419$ nm) over a 2 θ range from 50 to 700. The microstructure of the GRBMS samples cured at 50 °C and 80 °C for 1 d and cured naturally for 28 d was characterised by SEM (JSM-5610LV); the fractured surfaces of the samples were coated with gold. The porosity and pore distribution of the GRBMS cement cured in air for 28 d were determined using the mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) method using an automatic mercury porosimeter (Auto Pore IV 9500). In addition, the sample cured for 28 d was immersed in water and its water resistance was determined after 1 month. The water resistance coefficient (Rw) was used as a quantitative measure of the water resistance performance of the GRBMS-based composites. It was calculated using the following equation:

$$Rw = F_{cs}/F_{28} \tag{4}$$

where F_{28} is the average 28-day compressive strength of the specimen under each specified curing regime, (MPa); F_{cs} is the average compressive strength of the specimen after completing the prolonged water immersion, (MPa).

Table 4. The ratio of the GRBMS specimens.

Sample ratio	MgO/MgSO ₄	a-MgO (%)	with MgO (g)	with MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ (g)	with $C_6H_8Na_3O_7\cdot 2H_2O$ (g)	with wood chips (g)	with H ₂ O (g)
GRBMS	6	58.92 %	497.14	300.24	2.63	160	50.41

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mechanical strength

The flexural strength of the GRBMS specimens for different curing methods and temperatures is shown in Figure 1a. It can be seen that an increase in the curing temperature significantly improves the early strength. For instance, after curing for 1 d, the flexural strength of T50P and T80P was 8.721 MPa and 8.149 MPa, respectively, which was 180 % and 162 % higher than the flexural strength of 3.120 MPa at 20 °C for the same curing age, respectively. In contrast, the flexural strength of T50N and T80N was 7.248 MPa and 7.801 MPa representing an increase in the flexural strength by 132 % and 150 % compared to T20, respectively. After 7 d of curing at 50 °C in the early stage and after curing at 20 °C for 28 d, the flexural strength of T50P and T50N increased by 17.7 % and 0.37 %, whereas for the early curing temperature of 80 °C, the flexural strength increased by 40.9 % and 12.9 %, respectively. According



Figure 1. The flexural (a) and compressive (b) strengths of the GRBMS at different temperatures for the strip (N) and direct (P) curing methods.

Ceramics - Silikáty 63 (1) 32-44 (2019)

to the results reported by Wen [25], an increase in the flexural strength is the result of the formation of new phases in the cementitious system. However, the glass fibres were added to the bottom of the test piece. Because the fibres possess toughness and strong tensile properties, they improve the tensile strength. As shown in Figure 2, although the glass fibre had some cement residue adhere to it during the hydration process, the fibre is smooth and has no obvious chemical corrosion defect. The mutual bonding force between the glass fibre and the cement base is similar to that between steel and concrete. As the hydration reaction proceeds, no chemical reaction takes place between the glass fibres and the cement. The results indicate that the pre-curing strength was slightly lower at the curing temperature of 80 °C than at 50 °C when cured at high temperatures for 1 d, whereas the strength was slightly higher for the direct high-temperature curing. The reason is that prior to the high-temperature curing, the specimen was first cured at 20 °C for 1 d and was then cured at 80 °C. The hydration reaction is sufficiently fast so that the hydration product forms later and the dispersion type of the flexural strength is relatively large. When the specimens remain in the mould, the temperature does not change much; therefore, the hydration rate is not very high. The late strength was slightly higher at 80 °C than at 50 °C and this was most evident for T80P.

Figure 1b shows the compressive strength of the GRBMS specimens for the different curing methods and



Figure 2. A cross-section of the glass fibre and cement slurry

temperatures. The results are similar to those shown in Figure 1a. The difference is that the compressive strength is higher for T(n)P than for T(n)N in the early or late stage of high-temperature curing. For example, after 12 h of curing, the compressive strength of T50P and T50N was 19.675 MPa and 12 MPa, and the compressive strength was 34.8 MPa and 19.6 MPa, respectively, when the specimens were cured for 28 d. The early compressive strength of T80P was 3.4 % higher than that of T80N and 29.5 % after 28 d. Therefore, at a suitable temperature, the higher the temperature, the higher the late compressive strength is for direct curing; this curing method improves the durability of the cement. [26]

Porosity

The mechanical strength of the GRBMS cement is closely related to the type and content of the hydration products and the porosity and pore distribution. It is observed in Figure 3 that the cumulative porosity (from 333 lm to 6.0 nm) of the T80P specimen is 32.02 % lower than that of T80N (from 334 lm to 6.0 nm). The difference between T50P and T80P is relatively small. Table 5 shows that there are significant differences in the porosity and pore size distribution of the specimens. The porosity of T50P is significantly lower than that of T80P, which is attributed to the difference in the hydration products at the different temperatures. The pore size of the T80N specimen is 3027 nm, which is nearly three times that of T80P. The reason may be due to the differences in the morphology of the hydration products produced by the two curing modes at the same temperature. In addition, the wood chips in the GRBMS cement also increase the pore size. In the GRBMS cement, the most common types of pores are small pores (10 nm ~100 nm) and macropores (D > 100 nm), whereas gel pores (D < 10 nm) are the results of poorly crystallised hydration products.



Figure 3. The cumulative porosity of the GRBMS cement for the different curing conditions [D is the pore diameter (nm)].

Table 5. The pore size distribution for the different types of GRBMS cement.

A Sample	verage Pore Diameter	Porosity	<10 nm	10-100 nm	>100 nm
	(nm)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
20	261	24.29	25.65	40.88	33.47
50-P	941	13.14	6.09	50.53	43.38
80-P	982	22.42	0	63.34	36.66
80-N	3027	32.96	0	45.93	54.07

The small pores (10 nm to 100 nm) are crystalline pores, and the larger the number, the better the crystallinity of the hydrated product and the higher the strength is.

Phase transformation

The XRD patterns of the GRBMS-based composites cured using the five curing methods are shown in Figure 4. It is observed that at a curing temperature of 50 $^{\circ}$ C, the



Figure 4. The XRD patterns of the GRBMS cement-based composites using five curing regimes. (Continue on next page)



Figure 4. The XRD patterns of the GRBMS cement-based composites using five curing regimes.

main strength phase was the 517 phase. The formation of the 517 phase is described by Equation 5 and Equation 6 [27]. Compared with the results of curing at 20 °C, the intensity of the diffraction peak of MgO decreased significantly after 28 d, indicating that high temperature curing has a higher degree of hydration, which is the main reason why the compressive strength is slightly higher than at 20 °C. When the specimen was directly cured at 80 °C, the hydration strength phase changed significantly. For a hydration period of 3 d, the strength phase changed from the 517 phase to the 513 phase, which was the main reason for the decrease in the 3-d flexural strength at 12 h when the specimen was cured at 80 °C. The XRD patterns of the specimens cured at a temperature of 50 °C (Figure 4c, d) indicate that the diffraction peak of the 517 phase in Figure 4a is obviously stronger than that shown in Figure 4b, and there is a shift of 17 degrees in the diffraction peak of Mg(OH)₂ because pre-foster curing is beneficial to the hydration reaction and promotes the formation of the 517 intensity phase.

$$MgO + (x + 1) H_2O \rightarrow [Mg(OH)(H_2O)_x]^+ + OH^-$$
 (5)

$$2[Mg(OH)(H_2O)_X]^+ + SO_4^{2-} + 4Mg^{2+} + 8OH^- \rightarrow (6)$$

$$\rightarrow 5Mg(OH)_2 - MgSO_4 - 7H_2O + (2x - 7)H_2O$$
 (0)

Compared with the results for the curing at 50 °C, significant changes are observed for curing at 80 °C. It can be seen from the XRD patterns in Figure 4e that some of the 517 phase is produced in the early stage of pre-curing at 80 °C. After curing for 3 d at high temperature, the 517 phase in the hydrated product is converted to the 513 phase because the GRBMS cement was hydrated at 20 °C for 1 d prior to high-temperature curing. The main hydration products of the GRBMS at room temperature were the 517 phase, $Mg(OH)_2$, and $MgCO_3$; when the specimens were cured at 80 °C, the 517 phase dehydrated to the 513 phase, increasing the intensity.

With the increase in the curing time, magnesium oxide continues to hydrate and the intensity of the 513 phase increases, which increases the strength in the later stage. Figure 4f shows the XRD patterns of the specimen cured at 80 °C. This curing method does not result in the formation of the 517 phase, but directly produces the 513 phase, which is the main reason for the lower strength compared to T80P. In addition, the diffraction peak intensity of MgCO₃ is significantly higher and the diffraction peak of Mg(OH)₂ is slightly lower, which is one of the reasons for the low strength. Considering the difference in the flexural strength and compressive strength, it can be concluded that the order of strength of the hydrated phase is $517 > 513 > Mg(OH)_2$ for the flexural strength and 517 \approx 513 >> Mg(OH)₂ for the compressive strength.



a) 1 day

SEM

The microstructure of the composite materials is another important factor affecting the mechanical strength. Figure 5 shows the SEM images of the GRBMS matrix cured for 1 d and 28 d at 20 °C. Figure 5a shows many white gel-like substances that are hydrated when cured under natural conditions for 1 d. The 5000× magnification of the SEM image clearly shows that the short and thick fibrous whiskers are not only the 517 phases, indicating that there are a large number of intensity phases that were generated during curing at 20 °C for 1 d; this morphology explains the high early strength of the T(n)P.

The morphology of the matrix of the composite cured for 28 d at a temperature of 20 °C is shown in Figure 5c. It is apparent that a large number of needle crystals occurs in the voids and the needle crystals are the 517 phases. Compared with Figure 5b, the microstructure exhibits more detail and the morphology of the 517 phase is more apparent, which is mainly due to the incomplete hydration of MgO in the early stage. Therefore, the matrix contains a large amount of MgO and Mg(OH)₂. In the later stage, with the prolongation of the curing time, the magnesium oxide continues to hydrate, the content of the strength of the 517 phase increases, and the morphology gradually changes into a needle-like structure, which explains the higher compressive strength in the later stage.

Figure 6 shows the SEM images of the matrix of the composite cured at 50 °C and 80 °C for 1 d and 28 d. Figure 6a and b show the morphology of the specimen cured at 50 °C. It is evident that the morphology differs for the specimens cured under the different conditions. Careful observation of the pore areas of 50-P and 50-N indicates that the morphology of the 517 phase crystals is slightly different. For example, the crystals in 50-P are significantly longer and sharper than the 517 phase crystals in 50-N and the needles are more pronounced. However, these are much smaller in size in 50-N and are



Figure 5. SEM image of the GRBMS specimens curing for 1 d and 28 d at 20 °C.

densely meshed. The observed morphological changes in the 517 phase crystals may be caused by the different curing modes.

The SEM images of the GRBMS specimen cured at 80 °C are shown in Figures 6a and b. Figure 6c shows the SEM image of the GRBMS sample cured directly and at an elevated temperature. The pore morphology was observed after curing at a high temperature for 1 d; a small

number of white crystals occurs in the pores and adheres to the upper edge of the pores; these are unformed 517 whiskers. After curing at high temperature for 7 d, the specimen was cured at 20 $^{\circ}$ C for 28 d; a large number of needle-like 517 phases in the hole are converted into a sheet of the 513 phase, in which the whiskers are mixed with the short fibres.



c) 80-N

Figure 6. The SEM images of GRBMS at 1 d and 28 d under 80 °C and 50 °C. (Continue on next page)



Figure 6. The SEM images of GRBMS at 1 d and 28 d under 80 °C and 50 °C.

These are acicular structures of the 517 phase resulting from the high temperature during the dehydration that are slowly separating from the middle of the whisker to form short and thick crystals. As the hydration process progresses, some short and coarse crystals slowly form a small piece-like structure. The SEM image of the GRBMS sample cured in the mould at a high temperature is shown in Figure 6d. A large number of interlaced, half-moon-like structures appears in the pores of the matrix of the composite. The sheet-like structures are identified as 513 crystals; they are close to each other and contain holes, resulting in an increase in the late strength. The different curing methods not only change the phases of the hydration product but also the microscopic morphology of the crystal, resulting in different performances of the hydration process. This result is consistent with previous research [26].

Water resistance

The flexural strength of the GRBMS-based composites cured for 28 d and subjected to prolonged water immersion is shown in Figure 7. The results indicate that specimen 80-P is the most susceptible to

water; after one month of soaking, the bending strength decreases from 13.61 MPa to 4.65 MPa. Unlike 80-P, 80-N has good water resistance and its flexural strength is reduced from 10.89 MPa to 9.01 MPa. However, T(50) has an obvious contrast. Compared with the specimens cured at high temperature, the flexural strength of the GRBMS-based composites cured at 20 °C is stable and is 12.24 MPa for 28-d curing and 10.89 MPa after water immersion.

The residual compressive strength and water resistance coefficients (Rw) of the GRBMS-based composites after prolonged water immersions are shown in Figure 8. Similarly, the 80-P specimen had the lowest compressive strength. The residual compressive strength and Rw of 80-P after 1 month of water immersion are only 11.2 MPa and 0.26, respectively. In addition, there was no significant difference in the Rw between 50-P and 80-N, indicating that the water resistance of the GRBMS specimens is affected by the curing temperature and curing conditions.

The XRD patterns of the GRBMS-based composites using five curing regimes at 28 d and after prolonged water immersions are shown in Figure 9. At 20 °C, the



composites for 28 d and the prolonged water immersions.



Figure 8. The remaining compressive strengths and water resistance coefficients (Rw) of the GRBMS cement-based composites after prolonged water immersions.

phase of the water exhibits no differences between curing



Figure 9. The XRD patterns of the GRBMS cement-based composites using five curing regimes at 28 d and after the prolonged water immersions.

specimen. These results, as well as the results shown in Figures 7 and 8 indicate that 80-P is most affected by water; the most likely cause is the early high-temperature curing, which results in the rapid volatilisation of the free water in the cement matrix at a high temperature, forming many large and small pores. When the 80-P specimen is



soaked for a period of time, the external moisture fills the pores in the matrix; in addition, the wood chips in the high-temperature solidified cement matrix are easily saturated with water, resulting in a rapid increase in the volume of the 80-P specimen and a more pronounced decrease in the strength.



a) 20





c) 50-N

d) 50-P



e) 80-N (×2000)

f) 80-N (×5000)



Effects of the curing temperature on glass fibre reinforced basic magnesium sulfate cement



g) 80-P (×2000)

h) 80-P (×5000)

Figure 10. The SEM morphologies of the paste matrices of the MOC cement-based composites at 28 days under the adopted four curing regimes.

The microstructure of the composite matrix after immersion is shown in Figure 10. Compared with the SEM image of the specimen cured for 28 d shown in Figure 5, it is observed that the morphology of the 517 crystal in the pores after water immersion still exhibits a needle-like structure, but the cement matrix is thicker, which is mainly due to the water absorption by the cement composite. Comparing the SEM morphology shown in Figure 6, the microstructure changes before and after water immersion can be proposed. Compared to the results shown in Figure 6, Figure 10 shows that the GRBMS matrix of 80-N contains more 513 phases and its morphology has changed from a sheet-like structure to a fibre-like structure. Most of the 80-P specimen contains 513 phases with a sheet-like structure. Some of the short fibres in the hydration product have disappeared after water immersion. The microstructure is less compact due to the erosion effect of the water. In summary, the observed morphology explains the poor water resistance of the 80-P specimen. This may indicate that hightemperature curing and different curing conditions change the normal crystal habit of the 5-phase crystals, making them more susceptible to the effects of water and resulting in lower water resistance.

CONCLUSION

In this study, the effects of different curing conditions on the performance of GRBMS were investigated. The following conclusions are drawn:

• The curing temperature during the early curing period has an important effect on the primary reaction products of the GRBMS. The main strength phase resulting from curing temperatures of 20 °C and 50 °C is the needle-like 517 phase, whereas the main strength phase resulting from the curing temperature of 80 °C is the flaky 513 phase.

The strength phase of the 80-P cement-based material cured at 20 0C for 1 d is the 517 phase. After hightemperature curing for 3 d, the 517 phase loses water and changes into the 513 phase, resulting in morphology changes and increases in the strength.

- The SEM results show that at high-temperature curing, the curing mode changes the microscopic morphology of the hydration product and causes differences in the hydration performance.
- The water resistance was lowest for the 80 °C precuring specimen because of many pores in the cement matrix, the absorption of water by the wood chips, and the resulting volume expansion. There was no significant difference in water resistance between the specimens cured at 50 °C pre-curing and those cured directly and at a high temperature of 80 °C. This indicates that the water resistance of the GRBMS specimens is affected by the curing temperature and the curing mode.

Future work will be focused on the influence of the curing temperature on the intensity of phase hydration of the GRBMS specimens. This can be achieved by creating 517 phase seed crystals using ball milling and filtration methods. The internal structure of the 517 phase seeds will be compared with the case of natural curing.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundations of China (Grants no. 51662035) and the Science and Key R&D and transformation planned project of Qinghai province (Grants no. 51662035 and no. 2019-NN-159) and building energy-saving materials and engineering safety technology innovation service platform (Grants no. 2018-0401-ZJC-0004) and the teaching team of civil engineering safety technology of Qinghai University (Grants no. TD1804).

REFERENCES

- Demediuk T., Cole W. F., Hueber H. V. (1955): Studies on magnesium and calcium oxychlorides. *Australian Journal* of Chemistry, 8,215–233. doi:10.1071/CH9550215
- Xiang L., Liu F., Li J., Jin Y. (2004): Hydrothermal formation and characterization of magnesium oxysulfate whiskers. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 87, 424-429. doi:10.1016/j.matchemphys.06.021.
- Beaudoin J.J., Ramachandran V.S. (1978): Strength development in magnesium oxysulfate cement. *Cement and Concrete Research*, *8*, 532–536. doi:10.1016/0008-8846 (78)90063-7
- Shand M.A., Hoboken N.J. (2006). The chemistry and technology of magnesia. John Wiley and Inc, pp.231–241.
- Zhong G. L., Zhen Y. Y., Quan J. L., Zi Y. W., Shi H.L. (2003): Experimental study on urban refuse/magnesium oxychloride cement compound floor tile. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 33, 1663–1668. doi:10.1016/S0008-8846(03)00136-4.
- Ellis H. (1986): Fire Barrier Coating Composition Containing Magnesium Oxychlorides and High Alumina Calcium Aluminate Cements or Magnesium Oxysulphate. U. S. Patent, 4,572-862.
- Hoshino S., Tanaka H., Kimura T. (1983). Fibrous magnesium oxysulfate as a new reinforcement for plastics. in: Proceedings of the Annual Technical Conference of Society of Plastics Engineers. Tokyo, pp. 318-321.
- You C.W., Fa H.Y., Fang H.Z., Mei J.D., Jin W., Shan Y.T. (2015): Effects of phosphoric acid and phosphates on magnesium oxysulfate cement. *Materials and Structures*, 48, 907-917. doi:10.1617/s11527-013-0202-6.
- Cole W.F., Demediuk T. (1955): X-Ray, thermal, and Dehydration studies on Magnesium oxychlorides. *Australian Journal of Chemistry*, 8, 234-251.doi:10.1071/CH9550234
- Demediuk T and Cole W.F. (1957): A study of magnesium oxysulphates. *Australian Journal of Chemistry*, 10, 287–294. doi:10.1071/CH9570287
- Urwong Land Sorrell C.A. (1980): Phase relations in magnesium oxysulfate cements. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 63, 523-526, doi:10.1111/j.1151-2916. 1980.tb10757.x.
- 12. Kahle K. (1972): Mechanism of formation of magnesium sulphate cement. *Silikattecnic*, 23, 148–151.
- Vincenzo M.S. (2011): Influence of curing temperature on the evolution of magnesium oxychloride cement. *Journal* of Materials Science, 46, 6726-6733. doi:10.1007/s10853-011-5628-z.
- Chengyou W., Hongfa Y., Huifang Z., Jinmei D., Jing W., Yongsan T. (2013): Effects of phosphoric acid and phosphates on magnesium oxysulfate cement. *Materials and Structures*, 10, 1–11. doi:10.1617/s11527-013-0202-6.
- Li Z. J., Chau C. K. (2007): Influence of Molar Ratios on Properties of Magnesium Oxychloride Cement. *Cement and*

Concrete Research, *37*, 866-870. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres. 2007.03.015.

- Karimi Y., Monshi A. (2011): Effect of magnesium chloride concentrations on the properties of magnesium oxychloride cement for nano SiC composite purposes. *Ceramics International*, 37, 2405-2410. doi:10.1016/j.ceramint.2011. 05.082.
- Sglavo V. M., De Genua F., Conci A., Ceccato R., Cavallini R. (2011): Influence of curing temperature on the evolution of magnesium oxychloride cement. *Journal of Materials Science*, 46, 6726-6733, doi:10.1007/s10853-011-5628-z.
- Silva R.V., Brito J., Saikia. (2013): Influence of curing conditions on the durability-related performance of concrete made with selected plastic waste aggregates. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 35, 23–31. doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.08.017.
- Blom J., Rahier H., Wastiels J. (2014): Effect of curing conditions on the dimensional and thermal stability of calcium phosphate cement for elevated temperature *applications*. *Cement and Concrete Research*, *66*, 102–109. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2014.07.019.
- 20. Hongfa Y., Jinmei D. (2012): Accelerated life test and microscopic mechanism of high performance glass fiber reinforced magnesium oxychloride cement. *Bulletin of the Chinese Ceramic Society*, 31, 111-116. doi:10.16552/j.enki. issn1001-1625.2012.01.023
- Qianqian L., Hongfa Y. (2010): Durability and degradation mechanism of glass fiber reinforced magnesium oxychloride cement. *Bulletin of the Chinese Ceramic Society*, 29, 908-913. Doi:10.16552/j.crki.issn1001-1625.2010.04.046.
- 22. Dong J. M., Yu H. F., Zhang L. M. (2010): Study on experimental conditions of hydration methods of determining active magnesium oxide content. *Journal of Salt Lake Research*, 18(1), 38-41.
- Birchal V.S.S., Rocha S.D.F., Ciminelli V.S.T. (2000): The effect of magnesite calcination conditions on magnesia hydration. *Minerals Engineering*, 13, 1629–1633. doi:10.1016/ S0892-6875(00)00146-1.
- 24. Zongjin L., Chau C. K. (2008): Reactivity and function of magnesium oxide in Sorel cement. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 20, 239–244. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0899-1561(2008)20:3(239)
- 25. Wen J., Yu H., Li Y., Wu C., Dong J. (2014): Effects of citric acid on hydration process and mechanical properties of thermal decomposed magnesium oxychloride cement. *Journal of Wuhan University of Technology-Mater. Sci. Ed.*, 29(1), 114-118. doi:10.1007/s11595-014-0877-8
- 26. Biwan X., Hongyan M., Chuanlin H., Shuqing Y., Zongjin L. (2016): Influence of curing regimes on mechanical properties of magnesium oxychloride cement-based composites. *Construction and Building Materials*, *102*, 613-619. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.10.205
- Luo J., Yao J.(1998) :Study on kinetics of hydration for MgO–MgSO₄–H₂O cementitious system. *Journal of the Chinese Ceramic Society*, 26, 157–163